

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,426	09/28/2001	Akio Handa	0505-0869P	1515	
2292	7590 11/19/20	03	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASC	BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	/ URCH, VA 22040-0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3611			
		DATE MAIL CD. 11/10/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					$\rightarrow \sqrt{}$			
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	VV			
	0.00	09/964	1,426	HANDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			Marie M Boehler	3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears on	the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	dress			
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nonmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply ar ally will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a rej statutory minimum of thirty Id will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	led on <u>26 August 20</u>	<u>003</u> .					
2a)[☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•					
5)□ 6)⊠								
Applicati	ion Papers		•					
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	-	•	` '			
-	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	U-152.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clai All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. 1 The translation of the foreign leads of the complete comple	y documents have to documents have to documents have to sof the priority document (PCT life) and list of the conformatic priority ded in the first sentent anguage provisional for domestic priority	peen received. peen received in Application and received in Application has be preciped application has be preciped and received.	eplication No eceived in this National eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional tion or in an Application en received. § 120 and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(sommal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/964,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,530,447. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because although the claim language is not the same, all of the presently claimed features were recited in the earlier patent claims, except for the inclusion of nine engaging/disengaging members between the drive and driven shafts. By applicant's own admission it is conventional to use eight engagement members in the present environment. Absent criticality with respect to the number of members used, it would have been an obvious design choice to use nine rather than eight members, in order to provide more support around the circumference of the switching unit.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of Gao

Itoh shows a switching device in a drive trail of a four-wheel drive vehicle. The switching device includes a drive shaft 4 with inner ring cam 7, a driven shaft with outer ring 2 and engaging/disengaging members between the inner and outer rings. An elastic member (c-shaped spring in Figure 3) is connected between the inner ring 4, 7.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,426

Art Unit: 3611

and a retainer 8 for the members biases the members into direction to connect the shafts. When the vehicle is set in a two-wheel drive mode the members are moved to disconnect the shafts. Itoh also includes an electromagnetic clutch for setting the vehicle in a two or four-wheel drive mode.

Itoh shows eight engaging/disengaging members, rather than nine.

Gao shows an odd number (seven) of engaging/disengaging members between driving and driven shafts in a motor vehicle.

It would have been obvious to use seven rather than eight members, as taught by Gao, in order to provide an efficient clutch unit. It would also have been obvious to provide nine rather than eight members in order to provide more support and load transferring capacity within the clutch.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant has presented arguments and a declaration pointing out why it is critical to provide an odd number of engagement/disengagement members in the clutch. Gao has now been added to the rejection to show that providing an odd number of engagement/disengagement members in clutch is not novel or unobvious. Applicant's arguments did not separately point out why the use of nine members is critical and, therefore, the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to provide nine members, in order to increase the load transfer capacity.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,426

Art Unit: 3611

Kilwin teaches using nine clutch ball members, rather than seven or five, to safely provide greater load capacity.

Giometti shows an electromagnetic clutch with three roller members.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M Boehler whose telephone number is 703-308-0422. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9325 for regular communications and 703-87209327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611 Page 4

amb

November 14, 2003